

TLTAG Monthly Meeting Minutes

October 11, 2019

1:00 – 2:30 PM

3139 A&B Comp Sci

1. Introductions/Minutes/Updates (John Parrish)

- Motion to Approve September Minutes - Beth Martin motioned to Approve/ Paul Oliphant seconded
 - September Minutes were approved
- Barb King has accepted Vice Chair position for this year
- We have a Policy committee – representative is Elizabeth Harris

2. 3rd party vendor breach – Bob Turner

- 3rd party vendor breach that occurred - text book vendor called Chegg, hemorrhaged 40 million identities
 - Approx. 23,000 wisc.edu addresses were compromised
 - Approx. 4,000 were being utilized
 - Most of them used the same passwords from NetID
- UW System knows - other UW schools were hacked but UW-Madison was the largest
- HelpDesk did a great job fixing this
 - Mary contacted faculty/instructors about this for students

3. Mary Evansen- part of DUO - rolling out to students

- Eligibility began September 29th
 - number of specialty groups signing up - study abroad, auditing class, seniors, etc.
 - Communications going out and end of October to get students to sign up for DUO
 - adding a pop-up to MyUW to log-in and enroll
 - added posters everywhere
 - Mobile HelpDesk stations – added popup stations in high traffic areas to help students enroll
 - Following the NIS guidelines – was recommended and tracking on daily basis/tableau
- Hard cut off on December 31st
 - Need more from Pharmacy, Vet Med and HSLC
 - during exams, faculty needs to remind students about bringing in DUOs
 - online undergrads looking at for testing for NetIDs
 - We anticipate that there will be many questions, but we do have documentation in KB articles

4. Proctoring/Testing update - Paul, Andy, Bethany

- TIN has had a soft launch and RFP has been awarded
 - During process, Unisom has a contract
 - questions now how they will compete
 - Not great handle on what the size and the numbers will be – this is the biggest unknown
 - Cross campus examination - difference between high stakes exam verses low stake exam

- Subcommittee has been meeting throughout semester - working towards to help faculty use this in best practices
 - Want a positive experience in work and classroom
 - We also want to make sure we have correct training for all
- Operation - RFP process named a product, Unisom went to another product – working around cost and user maintenance
 - Trying to understand footprint of the high stakes exams verse the less high stakes exam. Want to find which one is better used and cheaper
 - Vendor is going per-exam basis – differences between low stakes exams for vendors
- Timeline- month of October and later into 2019
 - want to make sure that we have a securely locked environment when taking tests
 - Wants to make sure that an exam knows you are you
 - What is baseline cost?
 - Want to improve online course test taking and digital test taking
 - Low Stakes - service difference
 - Record and review (recording actions and look at anomalies - recording session for faculty to review and highlights anomalies
 - High Stakes
 - Proctoring - someone there that can affect an exam - higher stakes - can end a session in real time
- How to decide if individual can use Proctoring?
 - How much is cost - \$13-15 per student per day
 - Low stakes cost - \$5-7 per student per day
 - This is in AT hands right now

5. **Marty Gustafson - Digital Badges - Considerations for UW- Madison**

- Growing to formal look at introducing digital badges on our campus
 - Lifelong learner want faster, cheaper ways pf gaining new skills
 - Also want easy records of achievement and growth
 - These badges offers a record of competency - icon with built-in digital record of competency/skill earned by students
 - take badges with you
- workgroups have been trying/thinking digital badges at UW-Madison for years
- If UW offered badges -
 - Figure out interest, put together a definition, have some level of governance, platform and tool.
 - General rule, campus is open to digital badges (has IMS global standard for open badges provides guidance
 - Is there an assessment?
 - How do we 'right size' governance?
 - make use of Lumen (great place to start
 - Official record place to track
 - Good database and records
 - What is competence? How is this demonstrated? What is the rubric? What are resources and commitment when we offer that?
- Implementation -supports lifelong learning as “One Badger”
 - Use open standard, supporting tools (BADGR)

- single source of truth
- Link to Credit?
 - Examples used are all non-credit
 - Departmental credit by exam, advanced placement exams
- What kinds of things do you want to consider?
 - Want this to be available to everybody

Attendance:

John Parrish
Steven Cramer
Andrea Deau
Selma Fairach
Andy Goldstein
C. Shawn Green
Jeff Korab
Paul Oliphant
Beth Martin
Mary Evansen
Will Lipske
Bob Turner
Bethany Gordy