Mission to the TLTAG Subcommittee

The Digitally Enhanced Exams Sub-Committee will:

1. Provide recommendations and resource prioritization to TLTAG regarding digitally enhanced exam testing space, software, and other pertinent issues to support testing for campus residential instruction and online instruction of UW-Madison students.

2. Provide analysis and evaluation of proposed IT policies and initiatives for digitally enhanced testing on campus.

3. Provide representation and advocacy for digitally enhanced testing needs for UW-Madison.
Charge to the TLTAG Subcommittee

Instructor Community

Residential
Non-residential

Look at both low hanging fruit, as well as establishing a 3 to 5 year plan. Provide proposal(s) for FY19 by April 1st.
Problem Statement

How might we improve the learning ecosystem through campus-wide technology-enhanced student testing services, while continuing to ensure quality, accessibility and integrity?
Process - Method

1. Define Problem
2. Gather information

Focus on short term items

3. Sift & Winnow
4. Generate actionable ideas
5. Craft FY19 Proposals

Refocus on longer term & next steps
Process Timeline

Nov - Kickoff meeting

Dec & Jan - Define Problem Statement & Gather
  - Peer & Aspirational Institutions
  - Campus

Feb - Sift and Winnow
  - Short term possibilities
  - Long term opportunities

Mar - Develop FY19 proposal(s) [ experiment]
  Review by TLTAG & Steve

Apr - Present proposal to Leadership

May - Move to longer term opportunities
### Gathering - Who

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Institutions</th>
<th>Campus Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● Penn State</td>
<td>● Med School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Michigan</td>
<td>● Pharmacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Michigan State</td>
<td>● Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Ohio State</td>
<td>● L&amp;S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Rutgers</td>
<td>● Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● UT Austin</td>
<td>● WSB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Duke</td>
<td>● CALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Boulder</td>
<td>● SOHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Stanford</td>
<td>● Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Berkeley</td>
<td>● Nelson Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● ASU</td>
<td>● Nursing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● University of Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Henry Ford College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● University of Iowa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● University of Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● University of Minnesota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Wisconsin-La Crosse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● University of Maryland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Marian University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Chemeketa Community College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Humber College, Toronto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Tulsa Community College</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● University of Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● University of Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● University of Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Wisconsin-La Crosse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● University of Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Marian University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Chemeketa Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Humber College, Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Tulsa Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Henry Ford College</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example Questions

How are faculty currently assessing students using computer technology in your School/College?

What is the satisfaction level within the School/College and among the faculty with what is currently being done?

What types of assessment would you like to be doing that you are currently unable to do? What do you see as the biggest barriers to your being able to implement this? If campus where to provide technology to support these types of assessments, how widely used to you think it would be across your School/College?

Are there other specific tools to enhance assessment that would be beneficial for your School/College?

Do you or faculty within your School/College have experience with computer-based testing? If so, please answer the following questions:

- How broadly has it been adopted?
- What has been your delivery model?
- How would you categorize those experiences?
- What have you found to be the greatest advantages and challenges?

Would your faculty take advantage of additional Professional Development related to improving assessment? If so, in which areas of assessment do you think faculty would benefit most from additional professional development?

Please also provide any other feedback regarding technology-enhanced testing that would be valuable for the committee to consider.
Gathering - What

- Facilities
- Access
- Timeframes
- Schedules
- Facility locations
- Security
- Seats
- Instructor needs
- Student needs
- Responsibilities
- Integrity

- Proctoring
  - In-person
  - Remote
- Faculty buy-in
- Culture
- Exam flexibility
- Training
  - Faculty
  - Proctors
- BYOD
Sift & Winnow
Menu Concept

Questions to consider for menu options across a decentralized campus:

1. Kinds of testing
2. Scale
3. Infrastructure
4. Space
5. Timing
6. Cost /Value
7. Policies
Areas of Interest
Areas of Interest: Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) Testing

Primary Issues

- **Security and Operational Issues**
  - Compatibility with Canvas
  - Lockdown Browser Models
  - ADA compliance
  - Item Types & Test Dev. options
  - Device Neutrality
  - Ease and reliability

- **First Steps**
  - Evaluate all possible solutions
  - Adopt a “growth mindset” and pilot a few of the most promising
    - Standardize administrative conditions to isolate BYOD components
Areas of Interest: Facilities

Near-term possibilities (and probable first steps)

- Identify and leverage existing facilities and, ideally, associated infrastructure and support (e.g. InfoLab program, Libraries, under-utilized classroom spaces, etc.)

Longer-term possibilities:

- include everything from building a dedicated facility to major renovation/repurposing of existing campus spaces.
- these options are both time-intensive and costly
Areas of Interest: Culture

We also learned that faculty are interested in:

- Ensuring various needs, readiness and abilities across camps are recognized; providing ample communication strategies to inform campus community

- Improving the formative/summative assessment features in our CANVAS LMS

- Creating more assessment trainings to support other ways of testing and assessing students

- Creating opportunities to use digital enhanced testing with lockdown browsers, short essay and more case-based learning scenarios (pilot projects?)
Next Steps
QUESTIONS